GRILIFE EXTENSION

Mineral Supplementation for Beef Cow-Calf Operations ASAS Southern Section

Agent Training 9/27/16

Jason Banta, Ph.D., PAS Associate Professor and Extension Beef Cattle Specialist Overton, TX

Disclaimers

The information given herein is for educational purposes only.

Reference to trade name is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement is implied by the Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service.

Only a partial listing of available products and companies is included and no discrimination is intended by the omission of a product.

Listed values do not guarantee current company specifications.





Components of a <u>Complete</u> Mineral Supplement

- salt
- · macro minerals
- · trace minerals (aka micro minerals)
- · vitamins A, D, and E



Differences Between Companies

- formulation
- · mineral source
- reputation
- · palatability enhancers
- targeted intake
- \cdot weatherization

Common Formulations

- · higher-calcium, lower phosphorus
- similar Ca & P levels
- winter pasture (higher Mg)



	Emerald	Bronze	Gold
Calcium	16	12.5	12.5
Phosphorus	5	8	2
Salt	15 - 16	15 - 17	13 - 15
Magnesium	5	3	13
Potassium	0.1	2	0.2
Copper	2,500	2,500	1,500
Zinc	4,500	6,000	4,500
Manganese	4,000	4,000	4,000
Selenium	26	26	26
Iodine	200	200	200
Cobalt	20	20	20
Vitamin A	100,000	100,000	100,000
Vitamin D	-	10,000	-
Vitamin E	100	110	100

Targeted Intake

2 or 4 oz.

- most are 4 oz.
- 2 oz. example: Moorman's Range Minerals

target of 4 oz.

- average intake of 3 – 4 oz. would be acceptable

Se level

- 4 oz: commonly 25 - 27 mg

Additive Options

Additives

researched

- IGR
- CTC
- bovatec
- rumensin
- bovatec is not labeled for cows



- All Season 7.5 Complete
- All Season 7.5 Complete AU5600
- All Season 7.5 Complete ALT
- All Season 7.5 Complete AU5600-ALT

Additives

researched

- IGR
- CTC
- bovatec
- rumensi

not well researched or limited/no benefits

- there is a long list of these
- be cautious of claims
- be aware of selectively reporting research
- many would not justify the added cost

Geographic & Forage System Considerations

Native Range

dormant forages

 most mineral concentrations decrease with time especially P & K

protein and energy supplement can greatly impact the Ca:P ratio of the mineral needed

consider K level in protein and energy supplements

intake, Ibs	% P	gm P supplied
0.25	4	4.5
0.25	9	10.2
0.125	9	5.1
2	1.1	10.0
2	0.7	6.4
	intake, lbs 0.25 0.25 0.125 2 2 2	intake, lbs % P 0.25 4 0.25 9 0.125 9 2 1.1 2 0.7

Native Range

Calcium content of the soil

- just because the soil is high in Ca or is sitting on a limestone base doesn't mean the plant will take up more Ca
- bermudagrass average Ca: 0.43%
- native forages average Ca: 0.48%

Coastal Regions

mineral intake can be challenging

- try low salt formulations
- some examples:
 - · ADM AMPT-T Low Salt
 - Purina Coastal Cattle Mineral
- some work from Florida would suggest we could put the mineral supplement in a cube and feed 1 time per week

Winter Pasture

grass tetany concern for cows

- need consistent intake of Mg
 - $\cdot\,$ 5% or greater Mg level
- salt is important for absorption of Mg
- milk fever and grass tetany may both be involved in some cows
 - want higher Ca, lower P level

Poultry Litter

- inverted Ca:P ratio in forage
- · milk fever and grass tetany concerns
- may need P free mineral

Trace Mineral Considerations



- > zinc
- > manganese
- > selenium
- iodine
- ➤ cobalt

Cu, Zn, and Mn

the copper race

- many products have way more copper than needed
- a few are at levels that are concerning

desirable ratios

- 1:4 or 1:3 for Cu: Zn
- 1:2 for Cu:Mn is probably sufficient

Copper

- female: no effect
- male: probably no effect

Zinc

- female: very little data in cattle, but important in ovarian remodeling and CL production
- male: impacts testicular growth

Manganese

- female: possible estrous effect
- male: no claims

Selenium

- 1.30 mg/d for 1250 lb cow

legal limit

- 3 mg/d

requirement

- that is 2.31 times requirement

Se has the smallest safety margin of any trace mineral; toxicity could be a concern if getting more Se from other sources



lodine

preferred forms

- calcium iodate
- EDDI (organic form)

don't want

- potassium or sodium iodide
- less stable

to much calcium iodate has been reported to reduce weight gain and feed intake

Sources of Trace Minerals

inorganic

- ionic bond
- copper sulfate, zinc oxide, sodium selenite, etc.
- organic (aka chelated)
 - covalent bond to carbon-containing ligand
 - mineral bonded to: amino acid, protein, or CHO
 - zinc methionine, copper amino acid complex, cobalt glucoheptonate, etc.

hydroxy

- covalent bond to a hydroxy (OH) group
- zinc hydroxychloride, basic copper chloride, manganese hydroxychloride

inorganic vs. organic vs. hydroxy

research is inconsistent on animal growth, reproduction, and health

organic and hydroxy sources are likely safer for vitamins added to mineral supplements

availability of copper oxide is extremely low

Things to consider <u>if you choose</u> to feed a mineral with organic sources of trace minerals.

- What trace minerals are supplied by organic sources?
- How much of the trace mineral is supplied by an organic source?

How Much of the: Cu, Zn, Mn, & Co comes from an organic source?

PURINA® WIND AND RAIN® STORM® ALL SEASON 7.5 AVAILA® 4 COMPLETE

Dicalcium Phosphate, Monocalcium Phosphate, Calcium Carbonate, Salt, Processed Grain By-Products, Vegetable Fat, Mineral Oil, Magnesium Oxide, Iron Oxide, Vitamin E Supplement, Vitamin A Supplement, Silica Dioxide, Zinc Amino Acid Complex, Manganese Amino Acid Complex, Copper Amino Acid Complex, Ethylenediamine Dihydriodide, Cobalt Glucoheptonate, Vitamin D₃ Supplement, Natural and Artificial Flavors, Sodium Selenite.



Meets 100% of zinc, copper, manganese and cobalt trace mineral requirements usin Zinpro[®] Availa[®]4 complex trace minerals at a 4 ounce consumption rate.



INGREDIENTS

Molasses products, monocalcium phosphate, dicalcium phosphate, magnesium oxide, dehydrated seaweed meal, hydrolyzed vegetable oil, calcium carbonate, <u>manganous oxide,</u> <u>manganese sulfate, manganese amino acid complex, zinc</u> <u>oxide, zinc sulfate, zinc amino acid complex, copper sulfate,</u> <u>copper chloride, copper amino acid complex,</u> ethylenediamine dihydroiodide, calcium iodate, cobalt glucoheptonate, cobalt carbonate, sodium selenite, vitamin A acetate, vitamin D-3 supplement, vitamin E supplement, thiamine mononitrate, menadione sodium bisulfite complex, riboflavin supplement, calcium pantothenate, niacin supplement, vitamin B-12 supplement, choline chloride.



Thoughts

- · most need separate source of salt
- most have a similar Ca:P ratio
- most have less Ca then loose supplements

	Mineral-lyx	IGR Max	
Calcium	3.5 - 4.5	5 - 6	
Phosphorus	4	5	
Salt	none	none	
Magnesium	3.0	5.0	
Potassium	1.7	1.5	
Copper	500	1,000	
Zinc	1,500	3,000	
Manganese	2,000	4,000	
Selenium	8.8	13.2	
lodine	25	50	
Cobalt	5	10	
Vitamin A	100,000	200,000	
Vitamin D	10,000	20,000	
Vitamin E	100	200	

recommended intake mineral-lyx: 4.8 to 12 oz. IGR max: 4 oz.





AS 4 CP add Zn& Cu	MAG Mineral Tub	
4.5	5.5	Concession of the local division of the loca
4	4	190
10	0	PURINA
1	5	
1	2	
1,250	650	recommended intake
3,750	2,375	4 to 8 oz.
1,250	1,250	
10	10	need to put salt out
68	68	with the "MAG" tub
30	30	
	AS 4 CP add Zn& Cu 4.5 4 10 1 1 1,250 3,750 1,250 10 68 30	AS 4 CP add Zn& MAG Mineral Tub Qu 4.5 5.5 4 4 4 10 0 0 1 5 1 2 1,250 650 3,750 2,375 1,250 1,250 1,250 10 10 68 68 30 30 30





	Big 6	Se-90	lodized	Sulfur
Calcium				
Phosphorus				
Salt	96 - 99	95 - 98.5	97 – 99.7	95 - 97
Magnesium				
Potassium				
Sulfur				3
Copper	260 - 380	280 - 420		
Zinc	320	3,500		
Manganese	2,400	1,800		
Selenium		90		
Iodine	70	100	100	
Cobalt	40	60		
Vitamin A				
Vitamin D				
Vitamin E				



Allow livestock free access to this feed salt.





Things That Don't Make Sense To Me

- having more P than Ca in the mineral
- not having any Ca in the mineral
- putting sodium bicarbonate in a mineral
- · adding sulfur to the mineral



Mineral Feeders









Mineral Intake





- 2 or 4 oz. average consumption
- intake varies over time
- lactation may increase intake, 2 to 2.5x



· if intake is to high

- provide free choice salt
- check location of mineral feeder
- reduce amount of mineral fed

· if intake is low

- determine if cattle are receiving salt from another source
- check location of mineral feeder

• salt

- initially encourages intake
- as salt consumption increases mineral intake is reduce

phosphorus

- generally decreases intake

magnesium

- generally decreases intake

additives that stimulate intake

- molasses, yeast, other flavoring agents
- mineral oil and weatherization products



Calculating Mineral Intake

- > 35 cows
- > put 50 lbs of mineral in an empty feeder
- > mineral lasts for 6 days
- > 50 lbs ÷ 6 days = 8.33 lbs per day for the herd
- 8.33 lbs per day ÷ 35 hd = 0.24 lbs/hd/d
- > 16 oz. x 0.24 lbs = 3.8 oz./hd/d

When and What Do I Feed

Reputable Company with a Nutritionist on Staff

Consider Flexibility

When should I feed a cow-calf mineral?

- year round is best

- last 3, first 3
- provide salt at other times

introduced pasture and hay

- higher Ca, lower P

winter pasture

- higher Ca, lower P
- 5% or more Mg, make sure intake is good

growing native range - higher Ca, lower P

dormant native range (with protein/energy supplement that has some P)

- higher Ca, lower P
- if possible get protein/energy supplement with added K

dormant native range (no protein/energy supplement)

- similar Ca & P levels
- make sure intake is adequate

Mineral Supplementation: Stockers Grazing Winter Pasture

basically the same as for the cow herd with a few exceptions

Ca needs increase as ADG increases - want at least 10 gm/d of added Ca intake

Mg level is not really a concern, don't want it to high

Nutrient Requirements

500 lb steer calf

					DMI,
ADG	% TDN	% CP	% Ca	Ca, gm	lb
1	56	10.0	0.36	20.0	12.5
1.5	60	11.5	0.44	25.6	12.8
2	65	12.7	0.55	32.2	13.0
2.5	70	14.0	0.65	38.1	13.0
3.0	75	15.3	0.75	43.8	13.0

*Estimated dietary requirements for Brangus type steer under typical production conditions (Beef Cattle NRC, 1996). These requirements will vary depending on numerous factors including body condition, health, breed, environmental factors, use of growth promotants, and others.

	Nutrie	ent Re	quire	ments	5
800 lb yearling steer					
ADG	% TDN	% CP	% Ca	Ca, gm	DMI, lb
1.0	53	7.8	0.25	22.0	20.0
1.5	57	8.6	0.30	26.9	20.5
2.0	61	9.5	0.34	31.4	20.6
2.5	65	10.3	0.39	35.6	20.6
3.0	70	11.1	0.44	40.6	20.6
2.0 2.5 3.0	61 65 70	9.5 10.3 11.1	0.34 0.39 0.44	31.4 35.6 40.6	20.6 20.6 20.6

*Estimated dietary requirements for Brangus type steer under typical production conditions (Beef Ca NRC, 1996). These requirements will vary depending on numerous factors including body condition, health, breadt, environmental factors, use of growth promotants, and others.

UF FLORIDA

Mineral Concentrations in Grazed Cool-Season Annual Grass Pastures in North Florida¹

Minerals make up a crual portion of an aniscal's	(and seeding into domains warm season p
diet, however, they play an important role in health,	planting into a clean tilled prepared seed-3
powth and reproduction.	two fixage treatments (mono-cop vs. a m
While free-choice surveral supplementation is	forage species) for grazing by growing be mineral study was a component of this rat
common for beef cattle on pasture, pasture forage is	The purpose of the mineral andy was to n
still the main source of many nurraneally essential	monthly concentrations of selected miners
surveyers of the contraction U.S.A when persurent	from the victious pastures used in the grad
anneal aracter such as out the and anneal reparter.	during the Line full-wonner-spring grazing i
are commonly played to provide forage for exacing	words Florida. The surverals measured wer
by beef cardle during the lane fall to pring period.	networks carries (Ca) processing (Ma), and
These focages are highly digestible and are high in	minarals conner (Ca), son (Sa), size (Ca).
everyy and protein; however, there is limited	management (Adv) and all (Col) and calendar

mation about concentrations itionally important mirecals. North Florida Grazing Study oring study was conduct orb and Education Cen

disseding into domains waras seaso nting into a clean tilled prepared see a forage treatments (somo-copy vs. a age species) for grading by growing The pa one of the neral and The pasture colds were well-durined acide, un in (five inamy, knohesis, durmar Kandischin) ical of the Southern Crossal Plan. Prior is string each year, pastness were forelined and, if ded, dolomize izze applied based on coll analys

solis (five inary, knoine, rypical of the Southern Co planting each year, paths weeded, dolomite ince ap by a commercial laboratio

Department of Assault Name rs, NPERC-Mariana PL, Cherof Mark Insuine Service, Serlines of Pool and A





2005 to 2006 ADG, lbs 1.92ª
1.92ª
2.40 ^b
al 1.81 lb/d
ineral

Additional Resources



AgriLIFE EXTER	NSION	1528	
Mineral	Supplemen	tation of	
Bee	f Cows in Te	exas	
17.0.5	Doosin B. Hund*		
The proper behave of particle models of balance and the particle of the partic	Plane ar Talk 4, page 7, the shall hadrance and the	where the effect of the effect	







- · Jason Banta
- · Texas A&M AgriLife Extension
- · jpbanta@ag.tamu.edu
- · cell: 903-245-6145
- · office: 903-834-6191

